UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/611,960	07/03/2003	Gordon P. Kurtenbach	1500.1005CDC	3561
21171 STAAS & HAI	7590 12/29/200 SEY LLP	EXAMINER		
SUITE 700		TRAN, MYLINH T		
WASHINGTO	RK AVENUE, N.W. N, DC 20005		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2179	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/29/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary		Applic	ation No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)			
		10/61	1,960	KURTENBACH,	KURTENBACH, GORDON P.			
		Exam	ner	Art Unit				
		MYLIN	IH TRAN	2179				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communic r Reply	ation appears on	the cover sheet with	the correspondence a	ddress			
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	CORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MA ISSUE OF THE WAS USED TO THE MA ISSUE OF THE WAS USED TO THE WAS U	ILING DATE OF 37 CFR 1.136(a). In n lication. tory period will apply a II, by statute, cause the	THIS COMMUNICA o event, however, may a repl nd will expire SIX (6) MONTH application to become ABAN	ATION. y be timely filed S from the mailing date of this IDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) filed	on 28 August 2	009.					
•	,) This action						
′=	Since this application is in condition for	<i>′</i> —		s, prosecution as to th	e merits is			
<i>,</i> —	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims							
4)🛛	Claim(s) <u>1-3,7 and 12-26</u> is/are pendir	ng in the applica	tion.					
·	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)🖂	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3,7 and 12-26</u> is/are rejected.							
· ·	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction	on and/or electio	n requirement.					
Applicati	on Papers							
9)□	The specification is objected to by the	Examiner						
-	-		r b)□ obiected to by	the Examiner.				
7-7	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the	_	-		CFR 1.121(d).			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to b			•	, ,			
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) 🗌 .	Acknowledgment is made of a claim fo	r foreign priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 1	19(a)-(d) or (f).				
· .	12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
,-	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
Attachmen	t(s)							
1) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892)			nmary (PTO-413)				
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTC	D-948)		Mail Date rmal Patent Application				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 08/28/2009. 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application 6) Other:								

Application/Control Number: 10/611,960 Page 2

Art Unit: 2179

DETAILED ACTION

Applicant's amendment filed 08/28/2009 has been entered and carefully considered. Claims 1, 7, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 23 and 26 have been amended. However, the limitations of the amended claims have not been found to be patentable over prior art of record; therefore, claims 1-3, 7 and 12-26 are rejected under the same ground of rejection as set forth in the Office Action mailed 05/28/2009.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21 (2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1-3, 7 and 12-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Smith [US. 5,721,853].

As to claims 1, 7, 12, 15-16, 23 and 26, Smith teaches a computer implemented method and corresponding apparatus for a menu selection comprising the steps/means for a display displaying menu comprising a radial marking menu portion simultaneously displayed with a linear menu portion

(figure 3D, the radial marking menu portion on three quadrants: Tools, Ask AST and S/W Suites. These menu portions also are linear menu portions); a pointing device for indicating a type of selection by one of making a stroke having a direction and designating a location (figure 2, column 4, line 45 through column 5, line 3); and a computer connected to a display and said pointing device (figure 2, (23)), and determining selection criteria for the type and a menu selection based on a method of selection from one of the stroke and the location (figure 3E, column 5, line 40 through column 6, line 6). Smith also teaches a display displaying a menu comprising a radial marking menu portion and a linear menu portion at figure 3D; where selectable items in the radial marking menu sub-menu are included based on a priority (column 6, lines 7-50).

Smith also teaches two different portions of radial marking menu (figure 3D) and linear menu (figure 3C); a selection from the menu is made without displaying the menu with a single, uninterrupted stroke (at the time a mouse pointer is moved to select the four menu portions (represented the four radial quadrants representing menu items), the menu is not displayed yet. The menu which is not displayed at the time the mouse pointer moves to select it. The menu items are displayed after waiting a user specified length of time (see abstract and column 2, line 60 through column 3, line 10).

As to claim 2, Smith teaches the menu including at least nine selectable menu items (figure 3E).

As to claim 3, Smith teaches the menu including at least one menu item

selectable based on the designating of only a location (figure 2, column 4, line 45 through column 5, line 3).

As to claims 13-14, 17, Smith teaches specifying selection criteria of a radial marking menu item when a method of selection is a stroke direction in the radial marking menu portion (column 5, lines 40 through column 6, line 6) and specifying selection criteria of a linear location menu item when a method of selection uses an end point of the stroke when the stroke is in a linear location selection region (column 5, lines 4-40).

Smith teaches the radial marking menu portion at figure 3D and the linear menu portion at figure 3C; a selection from the menu is made without displaying the menu with a single, uninterrupted stroke (at the time a mouse pointer is moved to select the four menu portions (represented the four radial quadrants representing menu items), the menu is not displayed yet. The menu which is not displayed at the time the mouse pointer moves to select it. The menu items are displayed after waiting a user specified length of time (see abstract and column 2, line 60 through column 3, line 10).

As to claims 18-19, Smith shows making a stroke in a menu comprising a radial marking menu portion simultaneously displayed with a linear menu portion (figure 3D, the radial marking menu portion on three quadrants: Tools, Ask AST and S/W Suites. These menu portions also are linear menu portions), selecting a displayed first item of the menu when the stroke terminates inside the displayed item in a displayed first linear portion of the menu (figure 3C, the first item of the menu (figure 3c, 304a) is selected when the mouse pointer

terminates inside the portion 304b); and selecting, based on the stroke, a second item of the menu, when the stroke is in a second radial portion of the menu and when the stroke does not terminate inside any displayed items of the menu (figure 3D, the mouse pointer moves to the second radial portion 306b); the radial marking menu portion represents a grouping of menu items based upon frequency of selection (figure 3E, the radial marking menu portion represents a grouping of menu items (302, 304, 306 and 308) base upon frequency of selections of the four radial quarants).

As to claims 20-22, Smith teaches a radial portion, a linear portion and a menu selection being based on a stroke, selection of a radial menu item of radial portion being suppressed when a pointer location is in the linear portion (column 5, lines 4-40), the radial menu item selection being reactivated when the pointer location exits a linear item in the linear portion and when the pointer location crosses a radial portion boundary line extending across the menu (column 5, line 40 through column 6, line 6); a selection from the menu is made without displaying the menu with a single, uninterrupted stroke (at the time a mouse pointer is moved to select the four menu portions (represented the four radial quadrants representing menu items), the menu is not displayed yet. The menu which is not displayed at the time the mouse pointer moves to select it. The menu items are displayed after waiting a user specified length of time (see abstract and column 2, line 60 through column 3, line 10).

AS to claim 24, Smith teaches the pointing device allowing a user to select by both making a stroke and designating a location and for indicating a

Application/Control Number: 10/611,960 Page 6

Art Unit: 2179

type of selection by the user making one of a stroke having a direction for radial marking menu selection and designating a location (selection of each of the quadrants 202-208 (figure 3A-E) is by movement of the mouse pointer (23) into any of the four quadrants causes the corresponding secondary interface to be displayed. Moving the mouse pointer (23) over the quadrant 204 (figure 2) labeled "Ask AST" a cause a secondary interface comprising plurality of menus. These menus are displayed and selected by movement of the mouse pointer into any of the four quadrants (a stroke). The step of moving the mouse pointer over these quadrants is similar to the step of making a stroke having a direction and designating a location).

As to claim 25, Smith teaches the pointing device for indicating a type of selection by one of making a stroke having a direction and designating a location local to both the display and the pointing device (selection of each of the quadrants 202-208 (figure 3A-E) being by movement of the mouse pointer (23) into any of the four quadrants causes the corresponding secondary interface to be displayed is considered as a radial marking selection type. Selection of any menu from a linear menu portion 306d, figure 3D is considered as a linear selection type. Therefore, it is clear that Smith teaches determining selection criteria for the type and a menu item selection based on a method of selection from the one of the stroke and the location).

Response to Arguments

Applicant has argued about the Declarations and Attachments filed 06/11/07.

The arguments have been considered but they are still not persuasive.

Therefore, the rejection has been maintained.

Both Declarations and Attachments, numbered paragraph 2 from the June,
 Declaration has been considered but they are ineffective to overcome the applied references.

The applicant fails to map the supported document of Exhibit B to each and every limitation in the invention claims.

The declaration and accompanying Attachment B do not provide enough evidences to support all the claimed limitations prior to the reference date, therefore do not support conception of the claimed invention. Although the Exhibit B shows the limitation of "a display displaying a menu comprising a radial marking menu portion simultaneously displayed with a linear menu portion", it is insufficient to support the feature of "the radial marking menu portion represents a grouping of menu items based upon frequency of selection" in the Exhibit B (the examiner could not be able to locate the support for the feature as stated by the applicant). The Exhibit fails to show the features "selecting a displayed first item of the menu when the stroke terminates inside the displayed item in a displayed first linear portion of the menu, and selecting, based on the stroke, a second item of the menu, when the stroke is in a second radial portion of the menu and when the stroke does not terminate inside any displayed items of the menu" and "a linear portion associated with and simultaneously displayed with the radial portion, where selection of a radial

menu item of the radial portion is suppressed when a pointer location is in the linear portion".

2) Applicant has argued that Smith fails to teach "a selection from the menu is made without displaying the menu with a single, uninterrupted stroke". However, the examiner respectfully disagrees because at the time a mouse pointer is moved to select the four menu portions (represented the four radial quadrants representing menu items), the menu is not displayed yet. The menu which is not displayed at the time the mouse pointer moves to select it. The menu items are displayed after waiting a user specified length of time. The applicant's attention is directed to the abstract and column 2, line 60 through column 3, line 10, cited "When a mouse pointer is moved into the spot GDE, a ring or collar having four radial quadrants representing menu items is displayed after waiting a user specified length of time. These radial menu items are displayed around the GDE. When the mouse pointer is subsequently moved into any of the four quadrants of the collar, a secondary rectangular interface hierarchically associated with the quadrant is displayed after waiting a user specified length of time."

The Applicant also argued that in claims 18-19, the feature "the radial marking menu portion represents a grouping of menu items based upon frequency of selection" which was not addressed by the Office Action. However, the feature is disclosed in figure 3E, the radial marking menu portion represents a grouping of menu items (302, 304, 306 and 308) base upon frequency of selections of the four radial quadrants. It is a typo error when the examiner did

Page 9

the operations of cutting and pasting the feature "a selection from the menu is made without displaying the menu with a single, uninterrupted stroke" instead of the feature of "the radial marking menu portion represents a grouping of menu items based upon frequency of selection". However, the feature of "the radial marking menu portion represents a grouping of menu items based upon frequency of selection" is still disclosed in Smith, figure 3E.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mylinh Tran. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon - Thu from 7:00AM to 3:00PM at 571-272-4141.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Weilun Lo, can be reached at 571-272-4847.

Application/Control Number: 10/611,960 Page 10

Art Unit: 2179

The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or

proceeding is assigned are as follows:

571-273-8300

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from

the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information

for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public

PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through

Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see

http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private

PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197

(toll-free).

Mylinh Tran

Art Unit 2179

/Weilun Lo/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2179